Ab initio Nuclear Physics on the Lattice



Collaborate with Nuclear Lattice EFT Collaboration

¹Graduate School of China Academy of Engineering Physics, Beijing 中国工程物理研究院研究生院,北京

> Neutrinoless double-beta decay Symposium, Sun Yat-sen University Zhuhai Campus, May-20-2021

Introduction: Modern nuclear theories

Road map - Towards a comprehensive description of the nucleus

Ab initio methods:

Microscopic interactions full many-body correlations

- Configuration-interaction theories:
 Phenomenological interactions full many-body correlations
- Density functional theories:

Phenomenological interactions mean field approximation



A calculation is said to be **"ab initio"** if it relies on **basic and established laws of nature** without additional **assumptions or special models**

Introduction: Why ab initio nuclear physics?

- Simulate nucleus from bare nucleus-nucleus force
- Not brute force! Also requires deep physical insights and clever algorithms Memory for N classical particles ~ O(N) Memory for N quantum particles ~ O(exp(N))
- Solutions: Renormalization group, Monte Carlo, Quantum computing...









Introduction: Chiral effective field theory

Chiral EFT: The low-energy equivalence of the QCD Weinberg (1979,1990,1991), Gasser, Leutwyler (1984,1985)

- Proton (*uud*), neutron (*udd*), pion $(u\bar{d})$
- Spontaneously broken chiral symmetry: $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(2)_V$
- Goldstone theorem implies a light pion: Long-range part of the nuclear force
- Contact terms: Short-range part of the nuclear force
- Hard scale: $\Lambda_{\chi} \sim 1$ GeV: Chiral EFT works for momentum $Q \ll \Lambda_{\chi}$



Quarks confined in nucleons and pions

Introduction: Chiral effective field theory

A systematic expansion of the nuclear force Available up to the Next-to-Next-to-Next-to-Leading Order (N^3LO)



Lattice effective field theory

Quantum many-body problem can be solved on a lattice Lattice QCD, Hubbard model, Cold atoms... Lattice EFT = Chiral EFT + Lattice + Monte Carlo

- Discretized chiral EFT
- Lattice spacing $a \sim 1 \; {\rm fm}$
- Lattice imposes a momentum cutoff $\Lambda = \pi \hbar / a \sim 600 \text{ MeV}$
- Exact method, polynomial scaling ($\sim A^2$)



Lattice EFT: Euclidean time projection

• g. s. from imaginary time projection:

$$|\Psi_{g.s.}
angle \propto \lim_{ au
ightarrow \infty} \exp(- au H) |\Psi_A
angle$$

with $|\Psi_A\rangle$ representing *A* free nucleons.

• Expectation value of any operator \mathscr{O} :

$$\langle O
angle = \lim_{\tau o \infty} rac{\langle \Psi_A | e^{-\tau H/2} \mathcal{O} e^{-\tau H/2} | \Psi_A
angle}{\langle \Psi_A | e^{-\tau H} | \Psi_A
angle}$$

• au is discretized into time slices:

$$\exp(-\tau H) \simeq \left[:\exp(-\frac{\tau}{L_t}H):\right]^{L_t}$$

Complex structures like nucleon clustering emerges naturally.



Lattice EFT: Auxiliary field transformation

For a two-body $\delta-$ function interaction on the lattice

$$H = \sum_{nn'} -\psi_n^{\dagger} \frac{\nabla_{nn'}^2}{2M} \psi_{n'} + C \sum_n : (\psi_n^{\dagger} \psi_n)^2 :$$

 $\psi_n^{\dagger}(\psi_n)$ create (annihilate) a partice at mesh point *n* Hubbard–Stratonovich transformation:

$$:\exp(-a_tH):=\int\prod_n ds_n:\exp\left[\sum_n \left(-\frac{s_n^2}{2}+a_t\psi_n^{\dagger}\sum_{n'}\frac{\nabla_{nn'}^2}{2M}\psi_{n'}+\sqrt{-a_tC}s_n\psi_n^{\dagger}\psi_n\right)\right]$$



Lattice EFT: Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Integrating over a continuous domain \implies Arithmetic averaging over an ensamble Central limit theorem: Statistical error $\propto 1/\sqrt{N}$



Lattice EFT: Imaginary time extrapolation



Samples are generated by Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Total energies at large t follow

$$E_A(t) = E_A(\infty) + c \exp\left[-\Delta E \tau\right].$$

For any inserted operator \mathcal{O} ,

$$\mathscr{O}_{A}(\tau) = \mathscr{O}_{A}(\infty) + c' \exp\left[-\Delta E \tau/2\right]$$

c, c', ΔE are fitting parameters.



Advanced algorithm and programming paradigm

All $L_t \times L^3$ auxiliary fields s_{n,n_t} need to be updated. Two algorithms:

- Update all fields once every iteration: Hybrid Monte Carlo
- Update a single time slice every iteration: Shuttle Algorithm

B.L., et. al., <u>PLB 797, 134863 (2019)</u> SA $5 \sim 10$ times faster than HMC





- Can be implemented for GPU
- Algorithm & Hardware combined give a 40~50 times speed-up

Large lattices are accessible

Lattice EFT: A unified framework for ab initio calculations



Eliminating the lattice artifacts



- In real world, rotational SO(3) symmetry is a strict symmetry.
- In a lattice world, due to lattice artifacts, some directions are more preferred than others.
- To solve this issue, we propose:
 - Improved kinetic energy B.L. et al., <u>Phys. Rev. D 90, 034507 (2014)</u>
 - Weighted average for energy B.L. et al., Phys. Rev. D 90, 034507 (2014)
 - W/ ave. for tensor operators B.L. et al., <u>Phys. Rev. D 92, 014506 (2015)</u>

Most lattice artifacts cancel out when averaging over lattice orientations

Complex auxiliary field method

Techniques for solving the lattice scattering problem



• Angular momentum projection: Expand wave functions on states with definite angular momentum,

$$|
ho
angle_{L,L_z} = \sum_r Y_{L,L_z}(\hat{r})\delta_{
ho,|r|}|r
angle$$

 Complex auxiliary potential: Twist radial wave functions with a potential at very large R. In asymptotic region (r→∞):

$$\psi_k \approx Ah_{J,k}^+ - Bh_{J,k}^-,$$

B.L. et al., Phys. Lett. B 760 (2016) 309

Complex auxiliary field method: benchmark



- Phase shifts and mixing angles for a tensor pontential (toy model).
- Continuum results by solving the Lippmann-Schwinger equation.

B.L. et al., Phys. Lett. B 760 (2016) 309

Precision comparable with exact solutions

Chiral nuclear force up to N³LO: lattice interactions

• We use a seperable form $V \cong O^{\dagger}O$ for short-range interactions:

$$O_{S,L,J,J_z,I,I_z}^{2M,s_{NL}}(n) = \sum_{S_z,L_z} \langle SS_z, LL_z | JJ_z \rangle \left[\psi(n) \nabla_{1/2}^{2M} R_{L,L_z}^*(\nabla) \psi(n) \right]_{S,S_z,I,I_z}^{S_{NL}}$$

$$R_{L,L_z}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2L+1}} r^L Y_{L,L_z}(\theta,\phi)$$

The indices in O and O^{\dagger} are all contracted to form scalars.

• Long-range interactions (1-pion, 2-pion) implemented using FFT:

$$V_{\text{OPE}} = -\frac{g_A^2}{8F_\pi^2} \sum_{n',n,S',S,I} :\rho_{S',I}(n')f_{S'S}(n'-n)\rho_{S,I}(n):$$

$$(n'-n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n',n,S',S,I} e^{-iq\cdot(n'-n)} - b_\pi(q^2 + M_\pi^2)]$$

$$f_{S'S}(n'-n) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{q} \frac{q_{S'} q_S \exp\left[-iq \cdot (n'-n) - b_{\pi}(q^2 + M_{\pi}^2)\right]}{q^2 + M_{\pi}^2}$$

Ning Li, Elhatisari, Epelbaum, Lee, B.L., Meissner, PRC 98, 044002 (2018)

Chiral nuclear force up to N³LO: fit on the lattice



fit to N²LO: Alarcon, Du, Klein, Lahde, Lee, Ning Li, B.L., Luu, Meissner, <u>EPJA 53, 83 (2017)</u> fit to N³LO: Ning Li, Elhatisari, Epelbaum, Lee, B.L., Meissner, <u>PRC 98, 044002 (2018)</u>

Effects of locality: NN and α - α scattering

- Both interaction A and B give the same N-N phase shift.
- A: Non-local B: Local + non-local



- Locality can only be probed by many-body calculations.
- What is the consequence for finite nuclei?

Elhatisari, Ning Li, Rokash, Alarcon, Du, Klein, B.L., Meißner, Epelbaum,

Krebs, Lähde, Lee, Rupak, PRL 117 (2016) 132501

Effects of locality: Zero-temperature phase diagram

 $a_{\alpha\alpha}$: α - α scattering length. $E_A - E_{\alpha}A/4$: α -binding energy. $\lambda = 0$: purely non-local $\lambda = 1$: reality



Elhatisari, Ning Li, Rokash, Alarcon, Du, Klein, B.L., Meißner, Epelbaum,

Krebs, Lähde, Lee, Rupak, PRL 117 (2016) 132501

Essential elements for nuclear binding

How many free parameters are essential for a proper nuclear force? Answer: 4, Strength, Range, Three-body, Locality



B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Lee, Epelbaum, Meißner, PLB 797, 134863 (2019)

Essential elements for nuclear binding

Charge density and neutron matter equation of state are impotant in element creation, neutron star merger, etc.



B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Lee, Epelbaum, Meißner, PLB 797, 134863 (2019)

Pinhole algorithm: Sampling nucleon densities

The expectation of operator *O* can be expressed as a path integral:

$$\langle O \rangle = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_A} \int \mathscr{D} s \mathscr{D} \pi \langle \Psi_A | e^{-\frac{\tau}{2} H(s, \pi)} \rho_A(n_1, \cdots, n_A) e^{-\frac{\tau}{2} H(s, \pi)} | \Psi_A \rangle O(n_1, \cdots, n_A)}{\sum_{n_1, \cdots, n_A} \int \mathscr{D} s \mathscr{D} \pi \langle \Psi_A | e^{-\frac{\tau}{2} H(s, \pi)} \rho_A(n_1, \cdots, n_A) e^{-\frac{\tau}{2} H(s, \pi)} | \Psi_A \rangle}$$

Density operator ρ_A can be sampled with **Metropolis algorithm**



Elhatisari, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Lee, Ning Li, B.L., Meißner, Rupak, PRL 119, 222505 (2017)

Pinhole algorithm: α -cluster geometry in carbon isotopes

Positions of 3rd α -cluster relative to the other two in ^{12,14,16}C





- Hoyle state: Triple-α resonance, essential for creating ¹²C in stars (Hoyle, 1954). Fine-tuning for life? Epelbaum et al., PRL 106, 192501 (2011)
- *Question:* Are there **Hoyle-like states** in ¹⁴C and ¹⁶C? Consequence for element creation?

Visualize clustering in *ab inito* calculation

Elhatisari, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Lee, Ning Li, B.L., Meißner, Rupak, PRL 119, 222505 (2017)

Pinhole trace algorithm: Ab initio nuclear thermodynamics



A novel algorithm for simulating Finite-temperature nuclear matter from first principles

"Ab initio" means phase transition and clustering can emerge without model assumptions

B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Dean Lee, Drut, Lähde, Epelbaum, Meißner, <u>PRL 125, 192502</u> (2020)

Pinhole trace algorithm

The pinhole states span the whole *A*-body Hilbert space. Cannonical partition function can be expressed using pinholes:

 $Z_{A} = \operatorname{Tr}_{A}\left[\exp(-\beta H)\right] = \sum_{n_{1}, \cdots, n_{A}} \int \mathscr{D}s \mathscr{D}\pi \langle n_{1}, \cdots, n_{A} | \exp\left[-\beta H(s, \pi)\right] | n_{1}, \cdots, n_{A} \rangle$



B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Dean Lee, Drut, Lähde, Epelbaum, and Meißner, PRL 125, 192502 (2020)

Finite nuclear systems: Liquid-vapor coexistence line

Widom insertion method: Measure μ by inserting test particles





Finite nuclear systems: Surface effect

- The backbending in μ-ρ curves comes from the surface effects.
- Thermodynamic limit (A → ∞, N → ∞), µ_{liquid} = µ_{vapor} =const. at coexistence;
- Finite systems: extra contribution of the surface to free energy *F*;
- Surface area maximized at intermediate densities;
- μ = ∂F/∂A exhibits a backbending at coexistence.



Critical point: Compare with experiment



 T_c , P_c and ρ_c of neutral symmetric nuclear matter Experimental values and mean field results taken from Elliott, Lake, Moretto, Phair, PRC 87, 054622 (2013)

	This work	Exp.	RMF(NLSH)	RMF(NL3)
$T_c(MeV)$	15.80(3)	17.9(4)	15.96	14.64
$P_c({\rm MeV}/{ m fm^3})$	0.260(3)	0.31(7)	0.26	0.2020
$ ho_c({ m fm}^{-3})$	0.089(1)	0.06(1)	0.0526	0.0463
$ ho_0~({ m fm}^{-3})$	0.205(0)	0.132		
$ ho_c/ ho_0$	0.43	0.45		

Performance of PT algorithm: Time complexity

Time complexity $\sim \mathcal{O}(A^2L^3)$, Grand canonical ensemble $\sim \mathcal{O}(L^6)$



New algorithm can be thousands of times faster for $A \ll L$ B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Dean Lee, Drut, Lähde, , Epelbaum, and Meißner, <u>PRL 125, 192502 (2020)</u>

Clustering in hot nuclear matter

Ratio $\langle
ho^4
angle / \langle
ho
angle^4$ signifies the clustering correlation



B.L., Ning Li, Elhatisari, Dean Lee, Drut, Lähde, , Epelbaum, and Meißner, PRL 125, 192502 (2020)

- Lattice Effective Field Theory is a unified framework for nuclear *ab initio* calculations.
- Based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo method.
 - Challenges: reduce statistical errors.
- Unlimited configuration space.
 - Able to describe phase transition, nuclear fragmentation, clustering,...
- TODO list: refined N³LO chiral interaction, advanced lattice algorithms, numerical extrapolations, ...
- Future projects: $0\nu\beta\beta$ calculations, independent of other *ab initio* methods, reduce systematic errors. Possible connection with Lattice QCD.

谢谢各位老师!